

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,592	01/05/2001	John Steven Holmes	9D-HR-19412- Holmes et 3856 al		
7590 08/12/2004			EXAMINER		
John S Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP			NGUYEN, PHUNG		
One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2632	11	
St Louise, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/754,592	HOLMES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	Phung T Nguyen	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 January 2001</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 13-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8-12 and 20-24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sigelman et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,691,195) in view of Sidebottom (U.S. Pat. 4,463,348).

Regarding claim 1: Sigelman et al. disclose self-contained refrigerator open door indicator which comprises receiving a signal from the switch when the switch is activated; phase-shifting the signal; feeding the phase-shifted signal and monitoring an output signal (col. 1, lines 27-37, col. 2, lines 5-9, and col. 3, lines 66-68). Sigelman et al. do not disclose comparing the output signal with a line signal to determine whether the door is open. However, comparing the output signal to the established threshold values is old and known in the art as taught by Sidebottom (col. 4, lines 64-68, and col. 5, lines 1-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teaching of Sigelman et al. in the system of Sidebottom because they both teach a device for indicating that a refrigerator door is open. It is seen that Sidebottom's teaching of comparing the output signal with the predetermined value would be more accurate in order to determine the refrigerator door is open.

Regarding claim 2: Sigelman et al. inherently disclose rectifying the signal; and phase-shifting the rectified signal (col. 4, lines 31-51).

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 3: Sigelman et al. disclose wherein the step of rectifying the signal comprises the step of half-wave rectifying the signal (col. 3, lines 58-68).

Regarding claim 4: Sigelman et al. disclose the phase shifting circuit 120 which uses the capacitance of the two plates to maintain two pulse trains out of phase (figure 3, col. 3, lines 65-68). Sigelman et al. and Sidebottom do not teach producing a shifted voltage leading a line voltage as claimed. Since Sigelman et al. teach the use of the phase shifting circuit, it would be obvious to recognize that the phase shifting circuit of Sigelman et al. can also produce a shifted voltage leading a line voltage.

Regarding claim 5: The claimed limitation is already discussed in respect to claim 4 above except the shifted voltage leads the line voltage by a lead value between zero degrees and 90 degrees. However, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to adjust the shifted voltage leads the line voltage by a lead value between zero degrees and 90 degrees as desired.

Regarding claim 6: Sigelman et al. disclose the phase shifting circuit 120 which uses the capacitance of the two plates to maintain two pulse trains out of phase (figure 3, col. 3, lines 65-68). Sigelman et al. and Sidebottom do not teach producing a shifted voltage lagging a line voltage as claimed. Since Sigelman et al. teach the use of the phase shifting circuit, it would be obvious to recognize that the phase shifting circuit of Sigelman et al. can also produce a shifted voltage lagging a line voltage.

Regarding claim 7: The claimed limitation is already discussed in respect to claim 6 above except the shifted voltage lags the line voltage by a lag value between zero degrees and -90 degrees. However, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to adjust the

Art Unit: 2632

shifted voltage lags the line voltage by a lag value between zero degrees and -90 degrees as desired.

Regarding claim 13: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 14: Refer to claim 2 above.

Regarding claim 15: Refer to claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 16: Refer to claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 17: Refer to claim 5 above.

Regarding claim 18: Refer to claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 19: Refer to claim 7 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8-12, and 20-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Neuman [U.S. Pat. 4,891,626] discloses refrigerator door ajar detection system.
- b. Prada [U.S. Pat. 4,241,337] discloses appliance door position sensor arrangement.
- c. Griffin [U.S. Pat. 3,996,434] discloses household freezer door alarm switch having an automatic resetting deactivator.

Art Unit: 2632

d. Lee [U.S. Pat. 5,887,446] discloses refrigerator equipped with a refregerator lamp magnet switch.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-6252. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon thru. Friday, with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 703-308-6730. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Examiner: Phung Nguyen

huzngyer_

Date: August 4, 2004